# tady le good 

By Pietro Campanile

ihe last set of the semi-final of the National Pairs tournament had finished rather later than expected and that meant BIG TROUBLE. My fiancée, Samantha, had carefully planned a dinner for 9pm that evening, so that the long awaited ritual of introduction to her parents could be formally carried out in the elegant surroundings of "Chez Philippe" and I was running a little late, well actually over an hour late. The usual conspiracy of traffic lights (have you ever managed to find a green one when you are in a hurry to get somewhere?) and my uncanny knack for finding shortcuts which always end up lengthening my journey meant that I finally got to the restaurant at 10.30. I rushed in and found my sweetie waiting for me alone at our usual table. I tried to stutter a few excuses but I was quickly silenced. In a steely voice she informed me that her parents had left after the long wait and that: "If you prefer your plastic queens over me, so be it but beware! If I have anything to do with it, those queens will haunt each and every bridge game you play from now on!". I did not have time to reflect on that ominous sentence since a few seconds later I was proudly wearing a large bowl of chocolate mousse over my pinstripe suit.
A week and a couple of trips to the laundry later, I was wearing that very same suit to the final of the event (I could not very well wear anything else, could I? Not that I am superstitious, you know, but it would not hurt to play safe and, besides, that suit got me to the final, it would be mighty ungrateful of me to discard it now).
Things got under way and we started against two nice looking ladies, a good propitious sign because, as I always like to say, "Beauty and good bridge do not go hand in hand, if you see one you are not likely to see the other".


I picked up in fourth seat
A AKQ9765
1054
A
Q6
and after three passes, I decided to simply bid what I thought I could make: 4. That concluded the auction. West led the $\vee Q$ and this is what I could see:


Not a bad dummy, now all I had to do was trying to figure out what was going on with this $\vee Q$ lead: if it came from QJx or longer, I could be sure of a trick simply by ducking, if it was from Qx I could never make the hand.

I put the $\vee 6$ from dummy and it went small, small. The next card from West froze me to my seat: the $\vee$ A!! In shock I followed low and West quickly continued with a club to her partner's A and got a heart ruff back for the setting trick since this was the complete layout:


One down and a disastrous score. Oddly enough, West seemed equally mystified by what happened as she muttered something about wanting to lead a diamond but her hand reaching for the $\vee Q$ almost of its own will.
I discounted her silly explanation for that outrageous lead and made a point of taking the ladies' names in order to report them later: there was obviously some weird signaling system in place there.
A few rounds later we got to play against a husband and wife pair, another likely source of good scores as often enough with such pairs their private issues have a habit of getting in the way of their bridge with disastrous consequences.


After an average plus in the first board when the husband in East declared poorly a 3 NT contract, I picked up the following collection:

```
- A108
- AQ107
- AQ6
\& 1053
```

I opened 1 NT and after Stayman we quickly found our heart fit and closed the bidding in 4 .
West shuffled her cards in her hand and then led the $Q$. Dummy came down:


I looked at the Q with deep suspicion, but bridge is bridge and after some thought I played low from dummy. West continued with the and, despite frowning at the coincidence of having two people trying the same swindle on me in the same tournament, I gave her a defiant look: how on earth could she get across to her partner to receive a ruff? I should have known better: West smiled back and put a third club on the table, which her partner ruffed! I had to concede one more trick to the offside VK for yet another catastrophic one down. This was the complete hand:


This was too much: twice a lead of a queen from the unthinkable holding of AQ by a lady player reaping havoc into my score!
Could it possibly? Surely not! I felt that I must have been getting paranoid to even think about the chance of Samantha's
parting words turning into a curse. "Steady on, lad" I said to myself to calm down my nerves and I moved for the last round.
My next opponents were two delightful little old ladies, nice steady players with whom I played socially in the past, mostly to benefit from their well-honed pastry making skills!


First board: we quickly got to $5 \star$, after my partner opened $1 \uparrow$ and raised to 5 my 2* reply.
West led the $Q$ and dummy came down:


3NT from the North side might have been a potentially higher scoring contract on a spade lead, but on a club lead $5 \star$ was probably better.
What about this cursed $\wedge Q$ lead? Well, at least this time I had much better spots and covering with the K was the correct play on this layout, only losing to... You guessed it: East took her $\uparrow A$ and returned a spade to West's $\quad \mathrm{J}$ and ruffed the next spade! One off and yet another zero. Did I mention how much I hate Queens?


Second board of the round and last of the event. I tried to pull myself together and became the declarer in $4 \vee$, after this bidding:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1V |
| Pass | 2* | 32 | $3 \vee$ |
| Pass | 4 | All Pass |  |

I waited nervously for the lead. West calmly put forward a covered card then she turned it up: the $\vee$ !
Dummy came down:


For a few minutes I stood still, as if transfixed at the sight of that monster. I felt its placid eyes staring right through me as if a novel sphinx, daring me yet again to solve her enigma.
What wicked, underhand ploy was this Queen planning this time? Another lead from AQ? Oh, no! Not again! Determined not to be caught out a third time, I firmly asked for the K from dummy. The continuation was not what I had hoped: East took my $*$ with her $\star A$, cashed the $\uparrow$ A and returned the $\uparrow 10$ which West ruffed. Following her partner's preference,
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she played back a spade which East ruffed with an ill concealed giggle. A third diamond hit the table: I ruffed with the vK and I somewhat despondently cashed the $\vee \mathrm{A}$. As I feared the disaster was complete: $4 \mathrm{\imath}-1$ was a near zero.
All I had to do, as my partner took it upon himself to explain, was to "use that cauliflower that someone put in your head when they ran out of brains and duck the «Q lead". Unless East had opted for the unlikely play of overtaking the $\uparrow$ Q, I would have been safe since West would have never found the killing spade switch and, after a club continuation, declarer needed only to concede a diamond and a spade.
This was the complete hand:


I walked out of the club in a daze. Somehow I got into my car and drove straight to Samantha's place: I found her waiting outside her house with a big smile on her face. I ran into her arms and begged forgiveness, promising never again to forsake her for those treacherous plastic Queens. And so we lived happily and bridgeless ever after....

# Par+nERSHIT Bridge 

## By Matthew Granovetter

Sarah: I recently played a one-session club game with a friend. Halfway through the session, with no one vulnerable, I picked up:

```
4 A9
AK10762
Q
* A532
```

My RHO opened $1 \wedge$ and I overcalled $2 \vee$ : (double would be okay too, but I like to get my suit in early). Now it went pass, pass, double and for now I redoubled. My LHO thought for a long time and asked my partner several questions about that redouble. Finally she bid three diamonds, which was passed around to me. Thus far the auction had been:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 14 | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| Pass | Pass | Dbl | Rdbl |
| $3 \boldsymbol{3}$ | Pass | Pass | $?$ |

Sarah: I hadn't played bridge with this particular partner for five years, but I knew she was an aggressive and expert player. Therefore I was able to work out that it was extremely unlikely I could make three hearts. If partner had as much as queendoubleton, jack-doubleton or any three hearts, plus a king she was likely to have bid three hearts over three diamonds. I didn't feel I had much chance of making three hearts without something from partner, so I passed.
Matthew: Perhaps you should have reopened with another double. You had timed the auction well by overcalling first and then redoubling; you could now take advantage of these earlier bids by doubling rather than rebidding your suit. This would allow partner to pass on a hand with diamond length and short hearts. Who knows? On a trump lead, they might go down one trick, possibly two or three. Your partner wouldn't necessarily double three diamonds herself with a weak hand like:


Q QJxx
Jx
J9xx
Qxx
but would be happy to pass your reopening double.
Sarah: Yes, that's a good suggestion. The truth is, I didn't think of doubling because I was busy thinking of whether to bid three hearts. Don't forget LHO's long hesitation and questioning. I thought there was a good chance she held a trump stack behind me.
But I haven't reached the point of this hand. After the game, my partner advised me that she thought I should have bid $3 \vee$. Her hand was:

> 10865
> J4
> J93
> N 9764

The clubs broke 3-2 and the $\vee Q$ was doubleton, so $3 \varphi$ would have made. (Three diamonds went down one; we scored +50 , which turned out to be a poor score.)
My point is this: I didn't ask for her advice and I don't think it should have been offered gratuitously. In a casual partnership, i.e., a friendly game, one should enjoy the social aspects of the situation and avoid lesson-giving.
The Last Word (Matthew): Personally, I don't think this hand has anything to do with "casual" vs. serious partnerships. In any partnership, it is best not to criticize or discuss judgmental decisions. Partnership bridge discussions should be about bidding or defensive situations that involve both parties - and should be reserved for the next day.
Besides, if the $\vee Q$ had been third rather than doubleton, you would have gone plus only by passing and defending three diamonds. I have a feeling that your partner would not have chastised you if she had seen a top score on the recap.

